

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,194	11/03/2003	Randy Edward Benway	114154-050	3952
24573	7590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			KOVACS, ARPAD F	
PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, II	L 60690-1135		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
011101100, 1		•	3671	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/700,194	BENWAY ET AL.	S				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	eptember 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-30 and 39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 31-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) NInformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		I Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/23/2004</u> .	6)						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail C	Date 10272004				

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,194 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-9, 17-24, 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thorud (4951449).

Thorud discloses:

A mulching cutting blade (ref 60; col. 7, In 31-47); an insert or discharge control device (ref 124) removably attached to the rear portion of the sidewall depending from the top surface substantially vertically downward to block the discharge opening (see fig 1A), or not block in discharge mode (see fig 1B) when the insert is removed (cl. 1, 9, 17, 21, 31, 33-35, 37-38);

A mulch ramp (ref 72a) (cl. 18-19, 21-22);

At least one securing member outside of the cutting chamber (ref 128) (cl. 31, 35);

Discharge opening extends along about 60 to 120 degrees (see fig 1B) (cl. 2);

Tips of the blade substantially equidistant from the sidewall & insert (see fig 3) (cl. 3);

Discharge opening is located on a bottom section of the rear portion (as shown in fig 3, it start from the bottom) (cl. 4, 20, 23-24);

Application/Control Number: 10/700,194

Art Unit: 3671

Sidewall extends outwardly & downwardly from the blade & parallel with the blade (see fig 1B) (cl. 5-7);

First end & opposite is a second end with a fastener for securing (col. 10, ln 64-65; ref 128) (cl. 8, 18, 31);

The side portions have an identical or substantially identical shape (fig 2) (cl. 32, 36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorud (4951449).

Thorud discloses the claimed invention except for duplicating the blade, cutting chamber as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the number of blades & chambers as claimed, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24, 31-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As the Applicant stated, the amendment submitted overcomes the prior art cited in the previous Office Action.

Election/Restrictions

6. Claims 25-30, 39 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/7/2004.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/700,194

Art Unit: 3671

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Israel, Seegert, Paker.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 703-308-5897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308 3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671